

Ranking Criteria	Points Available
Property is part of a multi-property project (landowner collaborated with neighbors)	
Yes	10
No	0
Landowner resides on property and property is located in Berry Creek	
Yes	15
No	0
No but wishes to move back asap	7
Level of detail in proposed work maintenance plan	
knowledge and preference of native species. Open to prescribed fire. Landowner shows passion for land management	10
Displays an understanding of how treatment will age. Proposes plan with satisfactory level of detail. Some knowledge and preference for native species recruitment. Landowner shows commitment to management	5
Mentions prescribed burn, herbicide, grazing or other non mechanical work to cut regrowth	3
Relies on other programs to perform maintenance	2
One word answers	1
No answer	0
Income Level	
\$9,000 - 16,000	6
\$16,001 - 27,000	5
\$27,001 - 43,000	4
\$43,001 - 59,000	3
\$59,001 - 70,000	2
\$70,001 - 130,000	0
No answer	0
Methods of treatments landowner is open to	
All	5
Majority	4
No mechanical and/or no burning and/or single treatment	3
Hazard tree only	3
Planting only	0
Property size	
>20 ac.	1
<20 ac.	2
<15 ac.	3
<10 ac.	4
<5 ac.	5
Need as determined by field visit	
Severe - Landowner lives on property and home is in danger due to current conditions	15
High - Landowner lives on property and property contains hazards from tree fall and/or fire	10
Moderate - Landowner does not live on property but property is strategically located / Need	10
Moderate- Current state of vegetation is preventing landowner from returning or rebuilding. Log decks count.	5
Moderate- Landowner lives on property but need for management is low	5
Low- Landowner does not reside on property, no stated plans to return. Managing for hab	2
Need not apparent	0

Feasibility of work (property does not have to meet every detail within category) determined in field	
Good access, mechanical work OK, moderate material loading, prescribed fire opportunities	10
Moderate access, little to no mechanical work/hand work only, high material loading, pile	5
Poor access, all hand work with high material loading	2
Work not feasible with this program	0
Is the property a good fit for the PBA?	
Yes	10
No	0
Project is a good fit for other cost-share programs requiring an FMP	
Yes	5
No	0
Special Circumstances preventing applicant from state-funded work?	
Yes	-50
No	0
Total Points	96
(CFIP > 20 ac and they 90% cost share all up front costs tho//EQIP only economical if work is more than 5 ac)	